

From: Kissinger.Lon@epamail.epa.gov
To: craig.mccormack@ecy.wa.gov; [Hankins, Martha \(ECY\)](#); [Nayak Polissar](#)
Subject: Comments on 7/22 Draft of Nayak Paper
Date: Friday, November 02, 2012 1:31:31 PM
Attachments: [Nayak 7_22 Draft Lon Comments.docx](#)

Hi,

I would have to say that this is generally a very well written document! Definite kudos are in order for the Mountain Whisper Light!

At some points, it still may be possible to edit use of colloquial language (e.g. "the rates that are buried amidst the general run of rates..." might be changed to: "More commonly observed rates..." or "The most commonly observed rates falling in the middle of the FCR distribution.")

I am also wondering if this current analysis should potentially be used to revise the rates employed in the EPA Framework. It might be appropriate to sit down and discuss the methodologies used for the Framework and those employed in Nayak et al.'s current analysis.

I have a few comments, most of them are minor editorial concerns.

(See attached file: Nayak 7_22 Draft Lon Comments.docx)

Regards,

Lon Kissinger
Toxicologist
Office of Environmental Assessment, Risk Evaluation Unit
U.S. EPA - Region 10, Suite 900
Mail Stop: OEA-095
1200 6th Ave.
Seattle, WA 98101

kissinger.lon@epa.gov

206-553-2115 voice
206-553-0119 FAX